



Debevoise & Plimpton LLP  
919 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
+1 212 909 6000

October 4, 2019

Hon. Jesse M. Furman  
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse  
40 Foley Square  
New York, New York 10007

**Re: Alix v. McKinsey & Co., Inc., et al., No. 18-CV-04141 (JMF)**

Dear Judge Furman:

We write on behalf of Defendants McKinsey & Co., Inc., McKinsey Holdings, Inc., McKinsey & Company Inc. United States, and McKinsey Recovery & Transformation Services U.S., LLC (collectively, the “McKinsey Defendants”) to ask for permission to respond to yesterday’s filings by Plaintiff Jay Alix (“Alix”) in a single, consolidated brief due October 21, 2019.

On September 20, 2019, this Court granted Alix permission to respond by October 3, 2019, to the 5-page portion of McKinsey’s supplemental brief that contended that Alix’s Notice of Voluntary Dismissal immediately and irrevocably dismissed the State-Law Claims (the “Voluntary Dismissal Argument”). (ECF 121.) This Court further permitted the McKinsey Defendants to reply to that brief by October 10, 2019. (*Id.*) Last night, Alix filed a 10-page supplemental brief concerning the effectiveness of his Notice of Voluntary Dismissal (ECF 122). However, he also filed a separate motion for a Rule 54(b) certification and other contingent relief, supported by a 15-page brief (ECF 123, 124). Because both filings respond to arguments raised in the Voluntary Dismissal Argument, we propose to respond to both filings in a single brief. Given the additional issues raised by Alix’s new motion, the McKinsey Defendants seek permission to file the single reply brief on or before October 21, 2019. We have conferred with Alix’s counsel and they do not consent to this request.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John Gleeson